

A GRAPHICAL REPRESENTATION OF RINGS VIA AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS

N. MOHAN KUMAR AND PRAMOD K. SHARMA

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We define a graph $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ on R , with vertices elements of R , such that any two distinct vertices x, y are adjacent if and only if there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$ such that $\sigma(x) = y$. The idea is to apply graph theory to study orbit spaces of rings under automorphisms. In this article, we define the notion of a ring of type n for $n \geq 0$ and characterize all rings of type zero. We also characterize local rings (R, M) in which either the subset of units ($\neq 1$) is connected or the subset $M - \{0\}$ is connected in $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, all rings are commutative with identity. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}_n$, the ring of integers modulo n , and by $U(R)$, the group of units of a ring R . We will also use the notation $\mathbb{F}_q$ to denote a field of q elements, where of course, q is the power of a prime.

In the last decade, study of rings using properties of graphs has attracted considerable attention. In [2], I. Beck defined a simple graph on a commutative ring R with vertices elements of R where two different vertices x and y in R are adjacent, by which we mean as usual that they are connected by an edge, if and only if $xy = 0$. In [8], the authors defined another graph on a ring R with vertices elements of R such that two different vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $Rx + Ry = R$. In this article, we define another graph $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ with vertices elements of R where two different vertices $x, y \in \Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ are adjacent if and only if $\sigma(x) = y$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$. It is proved that if $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected, which is equivalent to $\deg x$ being zero for all $x \in R$, then R is either $\mathbb{Z}_n$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$. As usual, the degree of a vertex is the number of edges emanating from it. Further, we define the notion of rings of type n and study the structure of rings of type

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13M05.

Key words and phrases. Automorphisms of rings, Finite rings, Type of a ring.

The first author was partially supported by a grant from NSA.

All correspondences will be handled by the second author.

at most one. We also characterize finite local rings (R, M) with either $U(R) - \{1\}$ connected or $M - \{0\}$ connected as subsets of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$.

In general, if for a ring R , H is a subgroup of $\text{Aut } R$, then we can define a graph structure on R using H instead of $\text{Aut } R$. We shall denote this graph by $\Gamma_H(R)$. We expect that this approach may be useful in the study of orbit space of R under $\text{Aut } R$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basic notions from graph theory.

A simple graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is a non-empty set V together with a set E of unordered pairs of distinct elements of V . The elements of V are called vertices and an element $e = \{u, v\} \in E$ where $u, v \in V$ is called an edge of $\mathfrak{G}$ joining the vertices u and v . If $\{u, v\} \in E$, then u and v are called adjacent vertices. In this case u is adjacent to v and v is adjacent to u . We shall normally denote the graph just by $\mathfrak{G}$ and call $|V|$, the cardinality of V , the order of $\mathfrak{G}$. We shall sometimes write $|\mathfrak{G}|$ for the order of $\mathfrak{G}$. For any vertex $v \in \mathfrak{G}$, degree of v , denoted by $\deg v$, is the number of edges of $\mathfrak{G}$ incident with v . An automorphism α of a graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is a permutation of the set of vertices V of $\mathfrak{G}$ which preserves adjacency.

A subgraph of $\mathfrak{G}$ is a graph having all its vertices and edges in $\mathfrak{G}$. A graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is called complete if any two vertices in $\mathfrak{G}$ are adjacent. A clique of a graph is a maximal complete subgraph.

A graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is called connected if for all distinct vertices $x, y \in \mathfrak{G}$ there is a path from x to y . A graph $\mathfrak{G}$ is called totally disconnected if there are no edges in $\mathfrak{G}$. That is, the edge set of $\mathfrak{G}$ is empty. We say that a graph $\mathfrak{G}$ can be embedded in a surface S if it can be drawn on S so that no two edges intersect. A graph is planar if it can be embedded in a plane.

For a ring R , $\text{Aut } R$ operates in a natural way on R . If $S \subset \Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is connected, then for any $a, b \in S$, there is $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$ such that $\sigma(a) = b$. For any $x \in R$, we denote by $O(x)$ the orbit of x under the action of $\text{Aut } R$. In fact $O(x)$ is the clique of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ containing x . Moreover, any clique of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is of the form $O(x)$ for some $x \in R$.

Let K/k be a field extension. Then for any subgroup H of $\text{Aut}(K)$, $k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is totally disconnected if and only if $H \subset \text{Aut}_k(K)$.

We record some elementary results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let R be an integral domain and $G = \text{Aut } R$. For any $\lambda \in R - R^G$, λ is integral over R^G if and only if the clique of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ containing λ is finite.*

The proof is standard.

Theorem 2.2. *Let R be a Noetherian integral domain such that $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ has a finite number of cliques. Then R is a finite field.*

Proof. The proof follows from [7, Corollary 16]. $\square$

Next we define the notion of *type* of a ring R .

Definition 1. A ring R is called of type n if for all $x \in \Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$, $\deg x \leq n$, and there exists at least one $y \in \Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ such that $\deg y = n$.

Remark 1. Assume that the ring R is a direct product of rings A and B . If R is of type n , then A and B are of type $\leq n$.

Example 1. For any prime p , $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[X]/(X^2)$ is a ring of type $p - 2$.

This can be seen as follows. Let us denote by x the image of X in R . If ψ is an automorphism of R , then $\psi(x) = ax$ for some $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and conversely, given such an $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we can define an automorphism of R by sending $x \mapsto ax$. Then, it is clear that $\text{Aut } R$ has order $p - 1$. Therefore, for any $y \in R$, we have $\deg y = |O(y)| - 1 \leq p - 2$. On the other hand, $|O(x)| = p - 1$ and thus we see that R is of type $p - 2$.

Example 2. Let $n > 1$ be an odd integer. Then the ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_n[X]/(X^2)$ is of type $\varphi(n) - 1$, where $\varphi(n)$ denotes the Euler phi function.

As before, let us denote by x the image of X in R . Any element in R can be uniquely written as $ax + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Let $\psi \in \text{Aut } R$. Notice that $\psi(a) = a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Then $\psi(x) = ax + b$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Since ψ is an automorphism, there exists an element $px + q \in R$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that

$$x = \psi(px + q) = p\psi(x) + q = pax + pb + q.$$

Thus we get $pa = 1$ and so a must be a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Further, if $\psi(x) = ax + b$, with $a \in U(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, we must also have,

$$0 = \psi(x^2) = (ax + b)^2 = 2abx + b^2$$

and hence $2ab = 0$. Since n is odd and a is a unit, we have $b = 0$. So, any automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut } R$ must have, $\psi(x) = ax$ for some unit $a \in R$. It is easy to see that any such map is indeed an automorphism. Thus we see that $\text{Aut } R \cong U(\mathbb{Z}_n)$, which has order $\varphi(n)$. Thus, as before, we get that $|O(y)| \leq \varphi(n)$ for all $y \in R$ and since $|O(x)| = \varphi(n)$, we see that R is of type $\varphi(n) - 1$.

Example 3. Let p be a prime and $n \geq 1$ be any integer. Then for the direct product ring $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ (k -times), where $k < p^n$, $\text{Aut } R = S_k$, the symmetric group on k symbols. Thus R is of type $k! - 1$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (R, M) be a finite local ring which is not a field, such that $\deg x \leq 1$ for all $x \in M$. Then $\text{Aut } R$ is an Abelian group of order 2^m , $m \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $x \in M$. By assumption, $\deg x \leq 1$. Hence for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$, $x, \sigma(x), \sigma^2(x)$ are not all distinct. Thus $\sigma^2(x) = x$ for all $x \in M$. Thus $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$ on M . Hence by [6, Theorem 2.5], $\sigma^2 = \text{id}$. Therefore $\text{Aut } R$ is Abelian of order 2^m , $m \geq 0$. □

Example 4. Let (R, M) be a finite local ring which is not a field such that $\deg x \leq n$ for all $x \in M$. Then for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$, order of σ is $\leq (n+1)!$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let K be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then K is of type n , if and only if $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}$.*

Proof. As K is of type n , order of any $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(K)$ is at most $(n+1)!$ and in particular, the Fröbenius automorphism τ of K has finite order. If order of τ is m , then $x^{p^m} = x$ for all $x \in K$. Hence K is a finite field. As K is of type n , it is clear that $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^{n+1}}$. The converse is obvious. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let K be a field. Then K is perfect of characteristic $p > 0$ and is of type $n < \infty$ if and only if $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } K}(K)$ has finite number of cliques.*

Proof. The proof is immediate from Theorem 2.4 and [4, Theorem 1.1]. □

Theorem 2.6. *Let $R = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_m$, where $A_1, \dots, A_m$ are local rings. Then*

- (1) *If A_i is not isomorphic to A_j for any $i \neq j$, $\text{Aut } R$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq m} \text{Aut } A_i$.*
- (2) *If $m > 1$, and A_i is isomorphic to A_j for some $i \neq j$, Then $\text{Aut } R \neq \text{id}$. Further, if $\text{Aut } R$ is finite then it is of even order.*

Proof. (1) Local rings have no non-trivial idempotents. Hence any idempotent of R is of the form $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m)$ where $a_i = 0$ or $a_i = 1$ for each i . Denote by e_i the element

$$e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in R \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where 1 is the identity in A_i and is at the i th place. Then $e_1, \dots, e_m$ are m pairwise orthogonal idempotents in R such that $e_1 + e_2 + \cdots + e_m = 1$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$, $1 = \sigma(e_1) + \cdots + \sigma(e_m)$

and $\sigma(e_1), \dots, \sigma(e_m)$ are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in R . Thus $\sigma(e_i) = e_j$ for some j , and hence

$$\sigma(A_i) = \sigma(Re_i) = Re_j = A_j.$$

As A_i is not isomorphic to A_j for $i \neq j$, we conclude that $\sigma(e_i) = e_i$ for all i . Therefore the restriction of σ to A_i is an automorphism of A_i . This proves the first assertion.

- (2) Without loss of generality, we may assume that A_1 is isomorphic to A_2 . In fact, we can take $A_1 = A_2$. Then the map $\tau : R \rightarrow R$ given by

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) \mapsto (a_2, a_1, \dots, a_m)$$

is a non identity automorphism of R such that $\tau^2 = 1$. Hence the second assertion follows. $\square$

Remark 2. (1) If $\text{Aut } R$ is of odd order, then A_i is not isomorphic to A_j for $i \neq j$.

- (2) The Theorem is valid even if we assume that A_i has no non-trivial idempotent for any i , instead of assuming A_i to be local.

Corollary 2.7. *Let R, S be two local rings such that R is not isomorphic to S . Assume that for $a \in R$ and $b \in S$, we have $\deg a = m$, and $\deg b = n$. Then for the element $(a, b) \in R \times S$,*

$$\deg(a, b) = (\deg a + 1)(\deg b + 1) - 1.$$

Proof. By the Theorem, $\text{Aut}(R \times S)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Aut } R \times \text{Aut}(S)$. Therefore, it is immediate that

$$\deg(a, b) = (\deg a + 1)(\deg b + 1) - 1$$

. $\square$

Corollary 2.8. *Let R be a local ring of type m and S be a local ring of type n , $m \neq n$. Then $R \times S$ is of type $(m + 1)(n + 1) - 1$.*

Proof. The result is immediate from Corollary 2.7. $\square$

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a finite ring. Then $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is planar if and only if R is of type ≤ 3 .*

Proof. If $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is planar, then it does not contain K_5 by [3, Theorem 11.13]. Hence $\deg x \leq 3$ for all $x \in R$. This proves R is of type ≤ 3 . The converse is clear since K_n is planar for all $n \leq 4$ and $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is a union of $K_{n's}$. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *For any ring R , $\text{Aut}(R)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R))$, but the converse is not true in general.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in \Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ be connected. Then there exists $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$ such that $\sigma(a) = b$. Now for any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(R)$, $\theta\sigma\theta^{-1}\theta(a) = \theta(b)$. Thus the direct part holds. For the converse, if $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$, then $\text{Aut}(R) = \text{Id.}$, but $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}_4}) = S_4$. □

3. RINGS WITH $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ TOTALLY DISCONNECTED

Let R be a finite ring. In this section, we shall study the structure of R with $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ totally disconnected. Observe that $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected if and only if $\text{Aut } R = \text{id.}$ By [1, Theorem 8.7], any finite ring R is a direct product of finite local rings uniquely. As $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected, each of the factor local ring has trivial automorphism groups. Therefore we will study structure of R when R is local with $\text{Aut } R = \text{id.}$

Theorem 3.1. *Let (R, M) be a finite local ring such that $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected. Then R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$ where p is a prime.*

Proof. As $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected, $\text{Aut } R = \text{id.}$ Since R is a finite local ring, its characteristic is p^α for some prime p . Then $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha} \subset R$. Thus the characteristic of R/M is p .

If $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, we have nothing to prove. So we assume that $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$.

The structure of the proof is as follows.

- (1) We first show that there is a subring $B \subset R$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$ where $f(T)$ is a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]$ such that the induced map $B \rightarrow R/M$ is onto.
- (2) If $B = R$ then we show that R has non-trivial automorphisms contradicting our hypothesis.
- (3) If $B \neq R$, we choose a maximal subring $B \subset A \subsetneq R$ and show that R has non-trivial automorphisms over A , again contradicting our hypothesis, except when $p = 2$ and the only exception being when $R = \mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$.

We show that there is a subring $B \subset R$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[a]$ such that the natural map $B \rightarrow R/M$ is onto. If $R/M = \mathbb{Z}_p$, we may take $B = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$. So, let us assume that $R/M \neq \mathbb{Z}_p$. As $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a perfect field and R/M is a finite separable extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, R/M is a simple field extension of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and thus $R/M = \mathbb{Z}_p[\bar{x}]$ for some element $0 \neq \bar{x} \in R/M$. Let $f_1(T)$ be the irreducible polynomial of $\bar{x}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Choose $f(T) \in R[T]$, a monic polynomial, such that $f_1(T)$ is the image of $f(T)$

in $R/M[T]$. Since $\bar{x}$ is separable over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, by Hensel's Lemma, there exists a lift $a \in R$ of $\bar{x}$ such that $f(a) = 0$. Denote by B the subring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[a]$ of R . It is clear that the natural map $B \rightarrow R/M$ is onto.

Next We claim that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$ is isomorphic to B . Consider the natural $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ -epimorphism:

$$\theta : \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T] \longrightarrow B, \quad T \mapsto a.$$

Then, clearly $f(T) \in \text{Ker } \theta$. Hence θ induces an epimorphism:

$$\bar{\theta} : \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T)) \longrightarrow B.$$

Notice that, as $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$ is a field, $\bar{p}$, the image of p in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, generates the unique maximal ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$. Consequently, every ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$ is generated by a power of $\bar{p}$. In particular so is $\text{Ker } \bar{\theta}$ and so let this ideal be $(\bar{p}^k)$ for some integer k . Then $\bar{\theta}(\bar{p}^k) = \bar{p}^k = 0$ in R . This implies $k = \alpha$. Thus $\text{Ker } \bar{\theta} = 0$. Hence $\bar{\theta}$ is an isomorphism proving our claim.

We, now, consider the case $B = R$. In this case R is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$ and since we have assumed that $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, we see that the monic polynomial f has degree greater than one. Its image $f_1(T)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ is an irreducible polynomial. Consider the Fröbenius automorphism τ of $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) = R/M$. Since $\deg f_1(T) > 1$ the automorphism τ can not be identity.

For any automorphism β of $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$, the composite map

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[T] \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T))$$

is onto and if $\beta \circ \pi(T) = u$, then $f_1(u) = 0$. We know that $f_1(T)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Hence u is a simple root of $f_1(T)$. We have $f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[X] \subset R[X]$, and its image is $f_1(X)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X] \subset R/M[X]$. As seen above, by Hensel's Lemma, there exists a lift $a \in R$ of u such that $f(a) = 0$. Then consider the homomorphism:

$$\psi : \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T] \rightarrow R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T)) \quad T \mapsto a$$

Since $f(a) = 0$, this map induces an endomorphism

$$\bar{\psi} : R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T)) \longrightarrow R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T))$$

and the diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\psi}} & \mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}[T]/(f(T)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathbb{Z}_p[T]/(f_1(T)) \end{array}$$

is commutative. As β is obtained from $\bar{\psi}$ after tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_p$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$, $\bar{\psi}$ is onto. Hence, as R is finite, $\bar{\psi}$ is an automorphism. Finally,

taking $\beta = \tau$ and since $\tau \neq \text{id}$, $\overline{\psi} \neq \text{id}$. Thus we arrive at a contradiction to our hypothesis that $\text{Aut } R$ is trivial, in this case.

Lastly, we look at the case when $B \neq R$. Then we may choose a subring A of R , with $B \subset A$, $A \neq R$ and maximal with respect to this property. Then A is a local ring with maximal ideal $M_A = M \cap A$, and $R = A[\lambda]$ for every $\lambda \in R - A$.

Since B maps onto R/M , so does A . If $M \subset A$, and in particular, if $M = M_A$, then this would force $A = R$, which is not the case. So, $M \neq M_A$.

Since R is a finitely generated module over A , by Nakayama's lemma, we also have $M_A R + A \neq R$. But, $A \subset M_A R + A \subsetneq R$ and $M_A R + A$ is naturally a subring of R and thus by maximality, we must have $A = M_A R + A$ and thus $M_A R \subset A$. Since $1 \notin M_A R$, and $M_A \subset M_A R \subsetneq A$, we see that $M_A R = M_A$. So, we have shown,

$$M_A R = M_A \subsetneq M \quad (1)$$

Choose $\lambda \in M - M_A$ such that $\lambda^2 \in A$. This can always be done as elements of M are nilpotent. Thus $R = A[\lambda]$ where $\lambda \in R - A$ and $\lambda^2 \in A$ and in fact in M_A . Now, consider the A -algebra epimorphism:

$$\psi : A[T] \longrightarrow R, \quad T \mapsto \lambda.$$

One clearly has $\psi(T^2 - \lambda^2) = 0$. Similarly, for any element $a \in M_A$, $a\lambda \in M_A$ by equation (1) above. Thus we see that,

$$\text{Ker } \psi \supset (T^2 - \lambda^2, aT - a\lambda) = J$$

where a runs through elements of M_A .

We claim that the above inclusion is an equality. If $f(T) \in \text{Ker } \psi$, then, we can write

$$f(T) = (T^2 - \lambda^2)g(T) + aT - b$$

where $g(T), aT - b \in A[T]$. By assumption,

$$0 = f(\lambda) = a\lambda - b.$$

This forces a to be in M_A , since otherwise a is a unit, and in that case $\lambda = a^{-1}(a\lambda) = a^{-1}b \in A$ contradicting our choice of λ . Thus $aT - b = aT - a\lambda \in J$ establishing our claim. Thus we have,

$$\overline{\psi} : A[T]/J \simeq R.$$

Let $\mathbf{a}$ be the socle of A . If $\mathbf{a} = A$, then A is a field. From the above isomorphism, we have $R = A[T]/(T^2)$ since $\lambda^2 \in M_A = 0$ and $aT - b = 0$ since $a, b \in M_A = 0$ and thus $J = (T^2)$. If $u \in A$ is a unit, then $T \mapsto uT$ gives an automorphism of R and it is non-trivial

if $u \neq 1$. So, we may assume that 1 is the only unit in A and then $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$, leading us to the exception mentioned in the theorem.

So, from now on, let us assume that $\mathfrak{a} \subset M_A$. Now, we show that R has a non-trivial automorphism as A -algebras, proving the theorem.

Define an ideal I of A by,

$$I = (0 : \lambda)_A = \{x \in A \mid x\lambda = 0\}.$$

Since $\lambda \neq 0$ clearly $I \neq A$ and hence $I \subset M_A$. We look at two cases, either $\mathfrak{a}$ is contained in I or not. First we consider the case when $\mathfrak{a} \subset I$. Let $0 \neq v \in \mathfrak{a}$ and consider the A -algebra automorphism,

$$\alpha : A[T] \rightarrow A[T], \quad T \mapsto T + v.$$

We want to show that α respects the ideal J . We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(T^2 - \lambda^2) &= (T + v)^2 - \lambda^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2vT + v^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + (2vT - 2v\lambda) + 2v\lambda + v^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + (2vT - 2v\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

since $v^2 = 0$ because $v \in \mathfrak{a} \subset M_A$ and $2v\lambda = 0$ since $v \in I$. Thus $\alpha(T^2 - \lambda^2) \in J$. Similarly, for $a \in M_A$,

$$\alpha(aT - a\lambda) = a(T + v) - a\lambda = aT - a\lambda + av = aT - a\lambda$$

since $av = 0$. Thus, $\alpha(aT - a\lambda) \in J$. So, we get an induced surjective A -algebra homomorphism,

$$\bar{\alpha} : R = A[T]/J \rightarrow A[T]/J = R,$$

which then must be an automorphism. Since $T \mapsto T + v$ and $v \neq 0$, this is a non-trivial automorphism.

Lastly, we consider the case when the socle is not contained in I , but the socle is contained in M_A . Then choose an element v in the socle not contained in I . Consider the A -algebra automorphism

$$\beta : A[T] \rightarrow A[T], \quad T \mapsto (1 + v)T.$$

As before, we proceed to check that this map respects the ideal J .

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(T^2 - \lambda^2) &= (1 + v)^2 T^2 - \lambda^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2vT^2 + v^2 T^2 \\ &= (T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2v(T^2 - \lambda^2) + 2v\lambda^2 + v^2 T^2 \\ &= (1 + 2v)(T^2 - \lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

since $v^2 = 0$ and $v\lambda^2 = 0$ by virtue of the fact that v is in the socle as well as in M_A and $\lambda^2 \in M_A$. So, $\beta(T^2 - \lambda^2) \in J$.

Similarly, for any $a \in M_A$ one has,

$$\beta(aT - a\lambda) = a(1 + v)T - a\lambda = (aT - a\lambda) + avT = aT - a\lambda,$$

since $av = 0$. Thus $\beta(aT - a\lambda) \in J$. So, we get an induced A -algebra surjection,

$$\bar{\beta} : R \rightarrow R,$$

which is an isomorphism. Further, since $\bar{\beta}(\lambda) = \lambda + v\lambda$ and $v\lambda \neq 0$ since $v \notin I$, this is a non-trivial automorphism.

This concludes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *Let R be a finite ring such that $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected. Then R is a finite product of rings of the type $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\alpha}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/(X^2)$.*

Proof. Since R is a finite ring, by [1, Theorem 8.7], R is a finite product of local rings. Further, as $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ is totally disconnected, $\text{Aut } R = \text{id}$. Hence each of the local ring in the decomposition of R has automorphism group trivial. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 3.1. $\square$

Remark 3. Let (R, M) be finite local ring with characteristic of $R/M = p$. If $[R/M : \mathbb{F}_p] > 2$, then R is of type at least 2. This can be deduced from the proof of Theorem 3.1.

4. SOME CONNECTED SUBSETS OF $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$

In this section, we study the structure of a finite local ring R for which certain subsets of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$ are connected.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (R, M) be a finite local ring and $U(R)$ be the set of units of R . If $U(R) - \{1\}$ is a connected subset of $\Gamma_{\text{Aut } R}(R)$, then R is one of the following.*

- (1) $\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_3, \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{F}_4$.
- (2) $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where I is the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ generated by $\{X_i X_j | 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$.

Proof. If $U(R) = \{1\}$, then $M = 0$ since $1 + x$ is a unit for all $x \in M$. Therefore, in this case, $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now assume $U(R) - \{1\} \neq \emptyset$. Let p^n be the characteristic of R so that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \subset R$. The number of units in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ is $p^{n-1}(p-1)$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$, σ is identity on $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Thus all elements of $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) \subset U(R)$ have orbits consisting of just one element. If $U(R) - \{1\}$ is connected, it follows that the cardinality of $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n})$ can not be greater than two. Thus $p^{n-1}(p-1) \leq 2$. We deduce that either $p = 2, n = 1, 2$ or $p = 3, n = 1$.

If $M \not\supseteq \bar{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, then for any $x \in M$, with $x \notin \bar{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, $1+x$ is a unit not in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$. Therefore, in the cases $p=2, n=2$ or $p=3, n=1$, one sees that $U(R) - \{1\}$ is not connected. Consequently $M = \bar{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$, if $p=2, n=2$ or $p=3, n=1$.

Let us first look at the cases, $p=2, n=2$ and $p=3, n=1$. In these cases, $M = \bar{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ from above. The set $U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) - \{1\}$ has exactly one element and it is invariant under all automorphisms of R . Thus, this single element set is a connected component of $U(R) - \{1\}$, and since this set is assumed to be connected, we see that $U(R) - \{1\} = U(\mathbb{Z}_{p^n}) - \{1\}$. This implies $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} - \bar{p}\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = R - M$, Thus $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ proving the theorem in these cases.

We are left with the last case, when $p=2$ and $n=1$. In this case $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subset R$. If R is a field, then $R = \mathbb{F}_q$ where $q = 2^s$. The automorphism group of $\mathbb{F}_q$ has order s and thus the orbits have cardinality at most s . Since the cardinality of $U(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is $q-1$, we get that $2^s - 2 = q - 2 \leq s$. One easily sees that this implies $s \leq 2$. Since we are assuming that $U(R) - \{1\} \neq \emptyset$, this forces $s=2$ and $R = \mathbb{F}_4$. One easily checks that in this case, $U(R) - \{1\}$ is connected.

Finally we may assume that $M \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq x \in M$. If $u \neq 1$ is any unit, then the connectedness of $U(R) - \{1\}$ implies that there exists a $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$ such that $\sigma(1+x) = u$ and hence $u \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$. This implies $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$. Next we show that $M^2 = 0$. For this it suffices to show that for any $x \in M - M^2$ and any $y \in M$, $xy = 0$. If $xy \neq 0$, then there exists an automorphism τ of R so that $\tau(1+x) = 1+xy$ which implies that $\tau(x) = xy$. But, then $\tau(x) \in M - M^2$ and $xy \in M^2$, which is a contradiction. So $M^2 = 0$.

Now, let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be a minimal set of generators for M . Then consider the surjective homomorphism

$$f : \mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m] \rightarrow R, \quad X_i \mapsto a_i$$

As $M^2 = 0$, $|M| = 2^m$, since $m = \dim_{R/M} M/M^2$ and $R/M = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore $|R| = 2|M| = 2^{m+1}$ and $\text{Ker } f = I$ is the ideal generated by $X_i X_j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. As $|\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I| = 2^{m+1}$, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ is isomorphic to R . It is easy to see that in this case, $U(R) - \{1\}$ is indeed connected. $\square$

Theorem 4.2. *Let (R, M) be a finite local ring with characteristic p^n . If $M - \{0\}$ is connected, then $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ or $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I$ where $\mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite field with q elements and I is the ideal generated by elements of the form $X_i X_j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. By convention, we will include the case $R = \mathbb{F}_q$, when $m = 0$.*

Proof. If $M - \{0\} = \emptyset$, then R is a field and hence $\mathbb{F}_q$ for some q . So, let us assume that $M \neq 0$.

As characteristic of R is p^n , $\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \subset R$. Exactly as in Theorem 4.1, we can see that $M^2 = 0$. Now, note that $M \cap \mathbb{Z}_{p^n} = (\bar{p})$. Hence $n \leq 2$.

First we consider the case $n = 2$. In this case, if $p > 2$, then for any $1 < u < p$, the two elements $u\bar{p}, \bar{p}$ are distinct non-zero elements of M and for any $\sigma \in \text{Aut } R$, $\sigma(\bar{p}) = \bar{p}$ and $\sigma(u\bar{p}) = u\bar{p}$. This contradicts the fact $M - \{0\}$ is connected. Hence $p = 2$. In this case $M = \{\bar{2}, 0\}$ since $\sigma(\bar{2}) = \bar{2}$ for any automorphism σ of R and $M - \{0\}$ is connected. If $R \neq \mathbb{Z}_4$, then choose $\lambda \in R - \mathbb{Z}_4$. Clearly $\lambda \notin M$ and hence is a unit. Now, note that $\bar{2}$ and $\lambda\bar{2}$ are in $M = \{\bar{2}, 0\}$. Therefore $\lambda\bar{2} = \bar{2}$ and hence $(\lambda - 1)\bar{2} = 0$. Since $\bar{2} \neq 0$, this implies that $\lambda - 1 \in M$ and since $M \subset \mathbb{Z}_4$, we see that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, contradicting our choice of λ . Thus, in this case $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$.

In the last case of $n = 1$, we have $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset R$. So $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset R/M$ is a finite separable extension and so as in Theorem 3.1, there exists a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q \subset R$ such that $\mathbb{F}_q$ is isomorphic to R/M . Now, let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be a minimal set of generators for M . Then consider as before the surjective map

$$f : \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m] \rightarrow R, \quad X_i \mapsto a_i$$

Again $\text{Ker } f$ is the ideal I generated by elements of the form $X_i X_j$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Note that, as seen above, $m = \dim_{R/M} M$. Thus $|R| = q^{m+1}$ and similarly $|\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]/I| = q^{m+1}$. Consequently f is an isomorphism. Hence the proof is complete. $\square$

Theorem 4.3. *Let K/E be a field extension, and let $\text{Aut}_E K = H$. Assume $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is connected. Then either K/E is algebraic or all elements of $K - E$ are transcendental over E . Moreover, $K^H = E$. Further, if K/E is algebraic and not equal, then $E = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $K = \mathbb{F}_4$.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in K - E$ be two distinct elements such that a is algebraic over E . Since $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is connected, there exists $\sigma \in H$ such that $\sigma(a) = b$. Therefore b is also algebraic over E . This proves the first part of the statement.

Next, note that $E \subset K^H$. Then, as $K - E \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is connected, it is clear that $K^H - E = \emptyset$, or in other words $K^H = E$.

Now, let K/E be algebraic. We shall consider the cases of K being infinite or finite separately.

First consider the case when K is infinite. If $K - E \neq \emptyset$, let $\lambda \in K - E$. Let $p(T)$ be the irreducible polynomial of λ over E . Then for any $\sigma \in H$, $\sigma(\lambda)$ must be a root of $p(T)$ and in particular the orbit of λ is finite. Since $K - E$ is connected, this means that $K - E$ is the orbit of λ and thus $K - E$ is a finite set. Thus, K is a finite dimensional

vector space over E and so E must be infinite too. For any $0 \neq a \in E$, $a\lambda \in K - E$ and these are distinct. So, $K - E$ is infinite, which is a contradiction. So, K can not be infinite.

Next, let us consider the case when K is finite. Let $E = \mathbb{F}_q$ and let $|K : \mathbb{F}_q| = t > 1$. Then H is a cyclic group of order t generated by an appropriate power of the Frobenius. For any $\lambda \in K - E$, the cardinality of the orbit of λ is therefore at most t . Since $K - E$ is connected, we have $|K - E| \leq t$. On the other hand, $|K - E| = q^t - q$ and thus we get $q^t - q \leq t$. It is easy to check that this can happen only when $q = 2$ and $t = 2$. This proves the theorem.

If $E = \mathbb{F}_2$ and $K = \mathbb{F}_4$, then it is trivial to check that $\mathbb{F}_4 - \mathbb{F}_2$ is indeed connected. □

Let K/k be a field extension where K and k are algebraically closed. Let $H = \text{Aut}_k(K)$. Then, it is easy to check that $K - k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is connected. We, now, ask the converse:

Question 1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let K/k be a field extension with $H = \text{Aut}_k(K)$. Assume $K - k \subset \Gamma_H(K)$ is connected. Is K algebraically closed?

This question is a slight variant of part of Conjecture 2.1 in [4].

After the work was done, Roger Wiegand pointed out that Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 have also been proved in [5]. However, the proof is different.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. MR MR0242802 (39 #4129)
- [2] István Beck, *Coloring of commutative rings*, J. Algebra **116** (1988), no. 1, 208–226. MR MR944156 (89i:13006)
- [3] Frank Harary, *Graph Theory*, Narosa Publ. House, 1969.
- [4] Kiran S. Kedlaya and Bjorn Poonen, *Orbits of automorphism groups of fields*, J. Algebra **293** (2005), no. 1, 167–184. MR MR2173971 (2006h:12006)
- [5] Neeraj Kayal and Nitin Saxena, *Complexity of ring morphism problems*, Computational complexity **15** (2007), 342–390.
- [6] Pramod K. Sharma, *A note on automorphisms of local rings*, Comm. Algebra **30** (2002), no. 8, 3743–3747. MR MR1922308 (2003g:13027)
- [7] ———, *Orbits of automorphisms of integral domains*, Ill. Jour. Math. **30** (2009), 645–652.
- [8] Pramod K. Sharma and S. M. Bhatwadekar, *A note on graphical representation of rings*, J. Algebra **176** (1995), no. 1, 124–127. MR MR1345297 (96f:05079)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS,
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, 63130, U.S.A.

E-mail address: `kumar@wustl.edu`

URL: `http://www.math.wustl.edu/~kumar`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, VIGYAN BHAWAN, KHANDWA ROAD, INDORE–
452 001, INDIA.

E-mail address: `pksharma1944@yahoo.com`